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## INTRODUCTION

Let $F$ be a compact set in the complex plane, and $B$ is a metric space of functions, defined on $F$. Suppose $B$ contains all the polynomials. The extremal or general Tchebyscheff $n$-polynomial is a monic $n$th degree polynomial $T_{n}$ with a minimal distance from zero in $B$ :

$$
m_{n}(B)=\left\|T_{n}\right\|:=\inf \left\{\operatorname{dist}\left(z^{n}+a_{n-1} z^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{0}, 0\right) ; a_{n-1}, \ldots, a_{0} \in C\right\} .
$$

If $B$ is the space of continuous functions on $F$ with the supremum norm, then $T_{n}$ is a usual Tchebyscheff polynomial and $m_{n}$ is a Tchebyscheff constant associated with the compact $F$.

There are many interesting problems about extremal polynomials. The most important ones are their asymptotic and zero distributions. Recently, a series of results was established for the case of $L_{p}(F, \sigma)$ spaces $(1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty, \sigma$ is a Borelean measure on $F$ ). The case $p=2$ is the special case of $\sigma$-orthogonal polynomials; it has a long history of study and will not be described here (see, for example, the classical Szegö [16] and Nevai [11-13] books and also the fundamental Widom paper [19]). If we are interested in so-called power asymptotics of extremal constants and polynomials, then the cases studied are the following:
(a) $F=[-1,1], d \sigma(x)=\rho(x) d x$ ( $\rho$ is a weight function), $\rho(x)$ is non-negative and integrable. For $p=\infty, \rho(x) \equiv 1$ we have the classical Tchebyscheff polynomials.

For $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty, \rho(x)=t(x) / \sqrt{1-x^{2}}$ and $\log t(x)$ a Riemann integrable function, Bernstein [1] found the power asymptotic of the extremal constants $m_{n, p}(\rho)$. The important generalization of this result was obtained by Lubinsky and Saff [10]. They proved the asymptotic of $m_{n, p}(\rho)$ and $T_{n, \rho}$ (outside the interval $[-1,1]$ ) under a much more general condition on a weight function: $1 / \rho(x) \in L_{r}[-1,1], \forall r>1$ (Nevai condition).
(b) $F$ is a closed rectifiable Jordan curve with some condition of smoothness.

The case $0<p<+\infty$ was studied by Geronimus [3]. He proved power asymptotic of $m_{n, p}(\rho)$ and power asymptotic of $T_{n, p}$ on and outside the curve (with an additional condition that absolutely continuous part of measure $\sigma$ satisfy the Szegö condition, see later). The case $p=\infty$ was investigated by Widom [19] for $F=\bigcup E_{k}, E_{k}$ being a smooth closed Jordan curve. Recently, Li and Pan [9] studied the zero distributions of $L_{p}(\sigma)$ extremal polynomials on the unit circle $(1<p<\infty)$.
(c) $F$ is a rectifiable arc in the complex plane. This case is little understood. We do not know, for example, the power asymptotic of the weighted Tchebyscheff constants $m_{n, p}(\rho)(0<p \leqslant+\infty)$. The case $p=\infty$ is quite different from the classical real case (see [14, 18]). For $F$ an arc on the unit circle, $p=\infty, \rho(x)=1$ the Tchebyscheff polynomials were calculated in a terms of elliptic functions by Tiran and Detaille [17].

In this paper we shall study the power asymptotic of $m_{n, p}(\sigma)$ and $T_{n, p}$ in the case where $0<p<\infty, F=E \cup\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{N}\right\}, E$ being a closed rectifiable Jordan curve with some smoothness condition, $z_{k} \in \Omega:=\operatorname{Ext}(E)$, measure $\sigma$ is a sum $\sigma=\alpha+\gamma$, with $\operatorname{supp} \alpha=E, \alpha^{\prime}=\rho(\xi)$ on $E$, and $\gamma$ is a discrete measure having a masses $A_{k}$ in the points $z_{k}$. The result is the power asymptotic

$$
T_{n, p}(z)=c(E)^{n} \Phi^{n}(z) \psi^{*}(z)\left[1+\varepsilon_{n}(z)\right]
$$

where $\varepsilon_{n}(z) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on a compact subset of $\Omega, \psi^{*}$ is the solution of some extremal problem in the space $H_{p}(\Omega, \rho)(0<p<\infty)$. For $p=2$ this result was obtained in our previous paper [5]; here we apply the same techniques for the general case and give a precise detials for $0<p<1$. In the first section we present a basic definition and lemmas in the $H_{p}(\Omega, \rho)$ spaces. In the second section, we prove the main result, Theorem 2.2.

## 1. Basic Definitions and Functional Spaces

### 1.1. Conformal Mapping

Let $E$ be a Jordan closed rectifiable curve, $\Omega=\operatorname{Ext}(E), G=\{w \in C,|w|>1\}$. We note by $w=\Phi(z)$ the function that maps $\Omega$ conformally on $G$ in such
a manner that $\lim \Phi(z) / z>0$ for $z \rightarrow \infty$ and $\Phi(\infty)=\infty$. Really, this limit is equal to $1 / c(E)$, where $c(E)$ is the logarithmic capacity of $E$. Let $\Psi$ be the inverse function to $\Phi, \Psi: G \rightarrow \Omega$. The two functions $\Phi(z)$ and $\Psi(w)$ have a continuous extension to $E$ and on the unit circle, respectively (Caratheodory Theorem [4]). Their derivatives $\Phi^{\prime}(z)$ and $\Psi^{\prime}(w)$ have no zeros in $\Omega$ and $G$ and have limit values on $E$ and on the unit circle almost everywhere (with respect to the Lebesgue measure). So the functions $\Phi^{\prime}(z)$ and $\Psi^{\prime}(w)$ are defined and integrable on $E$ and on the unit circle. This gives us the possibility to define the analytic functions $\left(\Phi^{\prime}(z)\right)^{1 / p}$ and $\left(\Psi^{\prime}(w)\right)^{1 / p}$ for all $p: 0<p<\infty$.

## 1.2. $H_{p}$ Spaces

Let $\Delta=\{u \in C,|u|<1\}$ be the unit disc. We start with the usual $H_{p}(\Delta)$ space. First suppose $1 \leqslant p<\infty$. One function $f(u) \in H(\Delta)$ (analytic in $\Delta$ ) is from $H_{p}(4)$ space if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{H_{p}}^{p}:=\sup \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta<\infty(0<r<1) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case $f$ has limit values on the unit circle (almost everywhere) and the limit function is from the $L_{p}$ class. Although we have

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta \leqslant\|f\|_{H_{p}}^{p}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta
$$

this is the same as

$$
\int_{|u|=r}|f(u)|^{p}|d u| \leqslant r \int_{|u|=1}|f(u)|^{p}|d u|
$$

Now we define $H_{p}(G)$ as the space of functions $f(w)$ with $g(u)=f(1 / u) \in$ $H_{p}(\Delta) . H_{p}(G)$ is a Banach space. Each function $f(w)$ from this space is analytic in $G$, has limit values on the unit circle a.e. (see $[15,2,4]$ ), and for $1<R$ we have

$$
\int_{|w|=R}|f(w)|^{p}|d w| \leqslant R \int_{|w|=1}|f(w)|^{p}|d w|=R\|f\|_{H_{p}(G)}^{p}
$$

For $0<p<1, H_{p}(\Delta)$ is not a normed space, but it is a metric space with the distance $d(f, g)=\|f-g\|_{H_{p}(A)}^{p}\left((1.1)\right.$ as definition of $\left.\left\|^{*}\right\|\right)$ and it is a complete space. Each function $f(w)$ of $H_{p}(G)$ has a decomposition $f=B(w)[h(w)]^{2 / p}$, where $B(w)$ is the Blaschke product associated with zeros of $f(w)$ and $h(w) \in H_{2}(G)$ (see [15]). So the function $|f(w)|^{p}$ has limit values on the unit circle.

### 1.3. Szegö Function

Suppose $\sigma$ a Borel measure on $E$ with the absolutely continuous part $\rho(\zeta)=d \sigma /|d \zeta|, \zeta \in E$. The Szegö function $D(z)$ associated with the curve $E$ and the weight function $\rho(\zeta)$ is the function defined by the following properties:
(i) $D(z)$ is analytic in $\Omega, D(z) \neq 0$ in $\Omega$, and $D(\infty)>0$
(ii) $D(z)$ has limit values on $E$ (a.e.) and

$$
|D(\zeta)|^{-\rho}\left|\Phi^{\prime}(\zeta)\right|=\rho(\zeta), \quad \zeta \in E(\text { a.e. on } E)
$$

A sufficient condition for the existence of the Szegő function is the well known Szegö condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{E}(\log \rho(\zeta))\left|\Phi^{\prime}(\zeta)\right||d \zeta|>-\infty \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under this condition we can get the Szegö function easily: first we define the weight function $\delta(w)$ on the unit circle by

$$
\delta\left(e^{i \theta}\right)=\rho(\zeta) /\left|\Phi^{\prime}(\zeta)\right|, \quad \zeta=\Psi\left(e^{i \theta}\right)
$$

Then $\left|\Phi^{\prime}(\zeta)\right| \quad|d \zeta|=d \theta$ and (1.2) imply the usual Szegö condition $\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log \delta\left(e^{i \theta}\right) d \theta>-\infty$.

The following function is the Szegő function for the domain $G=$ $\{|w|>1\}:$

$$
D_{G}(w)=\exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 p \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{w+e^{i \theta}}{w-e^{i \theta}} \log \delta\left(e^{i \theta}\right) d \theta\right\}
$$

(see [16]). Then the function $D(z)=D_{G}(\Phi(z))$ is exactly the function satisfying (i)-(ii).
1.4. $H_{p}(\Omega, \rho)$ Spaces $(0<p<+\infty)$

We say that a function $f(z)$ analytic in $\Omega$ is from $H_{p}(\Omega, \rho)$ space iff $f(\Psi(w)) / D(\Psi(w))$ is a function from $H_{p}(G) . H_{p}(\Omega, \rho)$ is a Banach space ( $1<p<+\infty$ ). Each function $f(z)$ from $H_{p}(\Omega, \rho)$ has limit values on $E$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{H_{p}(\Omega, \rho)}^{p}=\int_{E}|f(\zeta)|^{p} \rho(\zeta)|d \zeta|=\lim \frac{1}{R} \int_{E_{R}} \frac{|f(z)|^{p}}{|D(z)|^{p}}\left|\Phi^{\prime}(z) d z\right|(R \rightarrow 1) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1<R, E_{R}=\{z \in \Omega:|\Phi(z)|=R\}$. For $0<p<1, H_{p}(\Omega, \rho)$ as above is a metric space with the quasi-norm (i.e., $\|\alpha f\|^{p}=|\alpha|^{p}\|f\|^{p}$ and $\left.\|f+g\|^{p} \leqslant\|f\|^{p}+\|g\|^{p}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
\|f\|_{H_{p}(\Omega, \rho)}^{p} & =\sup \frac{1}{R} \int_{E_{R}} \frac{|f(z)|^{p}}{|D(z)|^{p}}\left|\Phi^{\prime}(z) d z\right| \\
& =\lim \frac{1}{R} \int_{E_{R}} \frac{|f(z)|^{p}}{|D(z)|^{p}}\left|\Phi^{\prime}(z) d z\right|(R \rightarrow 1) \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 1.1. If $f(z) \in H_{p}(\Omega, \rho)$ then for every compact set $K \subset \Omega$ there is a constant $C_{K}$ such that

$$
\sup _{\kappa}|f(z)| \leqslant C_{\kappa}\|f\|_{H_{\rho}(\Omega, \rho)}^{p} .
$$

Proof. The lemma follows from the Cauchy formula for $f(z)\left(\Phi^{\prime}(z)\right)^{1 / P} /$ $D(z)$ applied on the curve $E_{R}(1 \leqslant p)$ and Minkowsky inequality. For $0<p<1$, we note that function $|f(\Psi(w)) / D(\Psi(w))|^{p}$ is subharmonic in $G$, and if $g(w)$ is a harmonic function with the same limit values on $E_{R}$ one has $g(z) \geqslant|f(\Psi(z)) / D(\Psi(z))|^{p}, z \in K$. The lemma follows from well known property of harmonic functions (representation by Poisson kernel).

Lemma 1.2. Let $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of functions from $H_{p}(\Omega, \rho)$ and
(i) $f_{n} \rightarrow f$ uniformly on the compact sets of $\Omega$
(ii) $\|f\|_{H_{p}(\Omega . \rho)}^{p} \leqslant M$ (constant).

Then $f \in H_{p}(\Omega, \rho)$ and $\|f\|_{H_{p}(\Omega, \rho)}^{p} \leqslant \lim \inf \left\|f_{n}\right\|_{H_{p}(\Omega, \rho)}^{p}$.
Proof. The function $f(z)$ is analytic in $\Omega$ and

$$
\left.\frac{1}{R} \int_{E_{R}} \frac{|f(z)|^{p}}{|D(z)|^{p}}\left|\Phi^{\prime}(z) d z\right|=\lim \frac{1}{R} \int_{E_{R}} \frac{\left|f_{n}(z)\right|^{p}}{|D(z)|^{p}} \Phi^{\prime}(z) d z \right\rvert\, \leqslant M
$$

imply that $f \in H_{p}(\Omega, \rho)$. Suppose $M^{*}=\liminf \left\|f_{n}\right\|_{H_{p}(\Omega, \rho)}^{p}$, then for $n \in A$ (subset of $N$ ) and $n>N_{0}$ we have $\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{H_{p}(\Omega, \rho)}^{p} \leqslant M^{*}+\varepsilon$. This implies

$$
\frac{1}{R} \int_{E_{R}} \frac{|f(z)|^{p}}{|D(z)|^{p}}\left|\Phi^{\prime}(z) d z\right|=\lim \frac{1}{R} \int_{E_{R}} \frac{\left|f_{n}(z)\right|^{p}}{|D(z)|^{p}}\left|\Phi^{\prime}(z) d z\right| \leqslant M^{*}+\varepsilon
$$

Thus $\|f\|_{H_{\rho}(\Omega, \rho)}^{p} \leqslant M^{*}+\varepsilon, \forall \varepsilon>0$. This proof is valid for all $p>0$.
1.5. Extremal Problems in the $H_{p}(\Omega, \rho)$ Spaces

We pose $(0<p<\infty)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(\rho):=\inf \left\{\|\phi\|_{H_{p}(\Omega, \rho)}^{p}, \phi \in H_{p}(\Omega, \rho), \phi(\infty)=1\right\} . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can calculate the extremal function in (1.5) explicitly from the Szegö function: first we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{R} \int_{E_{R}} \frac{|\phi(z)|^{p}}{|D(z)|^{p}}\left|\Phi^{\prime}(z) d z\right|=\frac{1}{R} \int_{|w|=R} \frac{|\phi(\Psi(w))|^{p}}{|D(\Psi(w))|^{p}}|d w| \geqslant \frac{2 \pi}{D(\infty)^{p}} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

because the function under the integral symbol is subharmonic in $G$. If $\phi^{*}=D(z) / D(\infty)$, then in (1.6) we have equality exactly. So $\phi^{*}(z)$ is an extremal function for (1.5).

Lemma 1.3. An extremal function $\psi^{*}$ of the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{*}(\rho):=\inf \left\{\|\phi\|_{H_{p}(\Omega, \rho)}^{p}, \phi \in H_{p}(\Omega, \rho), \phi(\infty)=1, \phi\left(z_{k}\right)=0, k=1, \ldots, N\right\} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is given by $\left(\phi^{*}=D(z) / D(\infty)\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{*}=\phi^{*} \prod_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\Phi(z)-\Phi\left(z_{k}\right)}{\Phi(z) \bar{\Phi}\left(z_{k}\right)-1} \frac{\left|\Phi\left(z_{k}\right)\right|^{2}}{\Phi\left(z_{k}\right)} \quad\left(z_{k} \in \Omega\right) . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We set

$$
B(z)=\prod_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\Phi(z)-\Phi\left(z_{k}\right)}{\Phi(z) \bar{\Phi}\left(z_{k}\right)-1} \frac{\left|\Phi\left(z_{k}\right)\right|^{2}}{\Phi\left(z_{k}\right)},
$$

$B(z)$ is a bounded analytic function in $\Omega, B(\infty)=1, B(z)$ has a continuous extension to $E$, and $|B(\zeta)|=\prod_{1}^{N}\left|\Phi\left(z_{k}\right)\right|$ (Blaschke product). If $\phi \in H_{p}(\Omega, \rho)$ and $\phi(\infty)=1, \phi\left(z_{k}\right)=0$, then $f(z)=\phi(z) / B(z) \in H_{p}(\Omega, \rho)$ and $f(\infty)=1$. From the continuity of $B(z)$ on $E$ one can find

$$
\|f\|^{p}=\left(\prod_{1}^{N}\left|\Phi\left(z_{k}\right)\right|\right)^{-p}\|\phi\|^{p},
$$

which implies $\mu(\rho) \leqslant\left(\prod_{1}^{N}\left|\Phi\left(z_{k}\right)\right|\right)^{-\rho} \mu^{*}(\rho)$. Conversely, for $f \in H_{p}(\Omega, \rho)$, $f(\infty)=1$ the function $\phi(\zeta)=f(z) B(z)$ is from the same space and $\phi(\infty)=1, \phi\left(z_{k}\right)=0$. This implies $\mu^{*}(\rho) \leqslant\left(\prod_{1}^{N}\left|\Phi\left(z_{k}\right)\right|\right)^{p} \mu(\rho)$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{*}(\rho)=\left(\prod_{1}^{N}\left|\Phi\left(z_{k}\right)\right|\right)^{p} \mu(\rho) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the lemma follows.

### 1.6. Closed Curves of the Class $\Gamma$ (Geronimus [3])

For a closed Jordan curve the Faber's polynomials $F_{n}(z)$ are defined by decomposition

$$
\Phi^{n}(z)=F_{n}(z)+\lambda_{n}(z)
$$

with $\hat{\lambda}_{n}(z)=O(1 / z)$ for $z \rightarrow \infty$. A curve $E$ is said to be from the class $\Gamma$ if

$$
\lambda_{n}(\zeta) \rightarrow 0 \text { uniformly on } E \text {. }
$$

If $z=z(t)$ is a parametrization of the curve $E(z:[\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow E, z(\alpha)=z(\beta))$ then a sufficient condition for $E$ to be in the class $\Gamma$ is that $z^{\prime}(t)$ is in a Lipschitz $\delta$-class for some exponent $\delta$. In this case $\lambda_{n}(\zeta)=O\left(1 / n^{\delta^{\prime}}\right)$ with $0<\delta^{\prime}<\delta$ [8].

## 2. Asymptotics of Extremal Polynomials

Let $E$ be a closed Jordan rectifiable curve, $\Omega:=\operatorname{Ext}(E), z_{1}, \ldots, z_{N} \in \Omega$. Suppose that the measure $\sigma$ is a sum $\sigma=\alpha+\gamma$ with $\operatorname{supp} \alpha=E, d \alpha /|d \zeta|=$ $\rho(\zeta)$ (absolutely continuous part of $\alpha$ ), $\gamma$ being a discrete measure with the masses $A_{k}$ in the points $z_{k}$. We denote as in the introduction by $m_{n, p}(\sigma)$ the extremal constants: $\left(F=E \cup\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{N}\right\}\right)$

$$
m_{n, \rho}(\sigma):=\min \left\{\left\|Q_{n}(z)\right\|_{L_{p}(\sigma, F)}, Q_{n}(z)=z^{n}+\cdots\right\}
$$

and by $T_{n, p}(z ; \sigma)$ the associated extremal polynomials. First we state the result of Geronimus [3]:

Theorem 2.1. If $0<p<\infty, E$ is from the class $\Gamma, \rho(\zeta)$ satisfy the Szegö condition (1.2), then
(i) $\lim \frac{m_{n, p}(\alpha)}{c(E)^{n}}=(\mu(\rho))^{1 / P}$
(ii) $\lim \left\|\frac{T_{n, p}(z ; \alpha)}{c(E)^{n} \Phi^{n}(z)}-\phi^{*}(z)\right\|_{H_{p}(\Omega, \rho)}=0$
(iii) $T_{n, p}(z ; \sigma)=c(E)^{n} \Phi^{n}(z) \phi^{*}(z)\left[1+\varepsilon_{n}(z)\right], \quad \varepsilon_{n}(z) \rightarrow 0 \quad$ uniformly on the compact sets of $\Omega$.

The constant $\mu(\rho)$ and the function $\phi^{*}(z)$ are defined in 1.5 of the previous section.

More precisely, Geronimus proved that if $E$ is from the class $\Gamma$, then (i), (ii), (iii), and Szegö condition (1.2) are equivalent to one another. Now we 1 are able to prove

Theorem 2.2. If $0<p<\infty, E$ is from the class $\Gamma, \rho(\zeta)$ satisfy the Szegö condition, then for a measure $\sigma$
(i) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_{n, p}(\sigma)}{c(E)^{n}}=\left(\mu^{*}(\rho)\right)^{1 / P}$
(ii) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\frac{T_{n, p}(z ; \sigma)}{c(E)^{n} \Phi^{n}(z)}-\psi^{*}(z)\right\|_{H_{p}(\Omega, \rho)}=0$
(iii) $T_{n, p}(z ; \sigma)=c(E)^{n} \Phi^{n}(z) \psi^{*}(z)\left[1+\varepsilon_{n}(z)\right], \quad \epsilon_{n}(z) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\Omega$.

The constant $\mu^{*}(\rho)$ and the function $\psi^{*}(z)$ are defined in 1.5 of the previous section.

We note that the form of asymptotic of $m_{n, p}(\sigma)$ and $T_{n, p}(z ; \sigma)$ is the same as in the Geronimus theorem, only the extremal constant $\mu^{*}(\rho)$ and the extremal function $\psi^{*}(z)$ change. The asymptotics do not depend on a singular part of the measure $\sigma$ (the same for $\alpha$ ) on the curve $E$. The constants $\mu(\rho)$ and $\mu^{*}(\rho)$ and the functions $\phi^{*}(z)$ and $\psi^{*}(z)$ are given by (1.9) and (1.8).

Proof. (i) First we set $\phi_{n}(z)=Q_{n}(z) / c(E)^{n} \Phi^{n}(z)$ for a polynomial $Q_{n}(z)=z^{n}+\cdots$. Every function of this type is in the $H_{p}(\Omega, \rho)$ and $\phi_{n}(\infty)=1$. Obviously, for this type of function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\phi_{n}\right\|_{H_{r}(\Omega . \rho)}^{p}=\int_{E}\left|\phi_{n}(\zeta)\right|^{p} \rho(\zeta)|d \zeta| \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{n, p}(\sigma)=\min \left\{\int_{E}\left|Q_{n}(\zeta)\right|^{p} d \alpha(\zeta)+\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left|Q_{n}\left(z_{k}\right)\right|^{p} A_{k}\right\}^{1 / p} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose now that $Q_{n}(z)=Q_{n-N}(z)\left(z-z_{1}\right)\left(z-z_{2}\right) \cdots\left(z-z_{N}\right)$ where $Q_{n-N}(z)=z^{n-N}+\cdots$, then

$$
m_{n, p}^{p}(\sigma) \leqslant \min \left\{\int_{E}\left|Q_{n-N}(\zeta)\right|^{p}\left|\omega_{N}(\zeta)\right|^{p} d x(\zeta)\right\}
$$

where $\omega_{N}(z)=\left(z-z_{1}\right)\left(z-z_{2}\right) \cdots\left(z-z_{N}\right)$. The absolutely continuous part of the measure $\left.\left|\omega_{N}(\zeta)\right|^{p} d x(\zeta)\right)$ is $\left|\omega_{N}(\zeta)\right|^{p} \rho(\zeta)|d \zeta|$, it satisfies the Szegö condition, and from Theorem 2.1 we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim \sup \frac{m_{n, p}(\sigma)}{c(E)^{n}} \leqslant\left[\mu\left(\rho \frac{\left|\omega_{N}\right|^{p}}{c(E)^{N_{p}}}\right)\right]^{1 / p} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If now $\phi \in H_{p}(\Omega, \rho)$ and $\phi(\infty)=1, \phi\left(z_{k}\right)=0, k=1, \ldots, N$, then
$\phi(z) c(E)^{N} \Phi^{N}(z) / \omega_{N}(z)$ is a function from $H_{p}\left(\Omega, \rho\left(\left|\omega_{N}\right|^{p} / c(E)^{N_{p}}\right)\right)$ and for $\rho_{N}=\rho\left(\left|\omega_{N}\right|^{p} / c(E)^{N_{P}}\right)$ we have

$$
\|\phi\|_{H_{p}(\Omega, \rho)}=\left\|\phi c(E)^{N} \Phi^{N} / \omega_{N}\right\|_{H_{\rho}\left(\Omega, \rho_{N}\right)} \geqslant \mu\left(\rho \frac{\left|\omega_{N}\right|^{p}}{c(E)^{N_{F}}}\right)
$$

(it is simple for $1 \leqslant p<\infty$ because in this case $\|\phi\|_{H_{p}(\Omega, \rho)}^{p}=$ $\int_{E}|\phi(\zeta)|^{p} \rho(\zeta)|d \zeta|$, for $0<p<1$ we use the continuity property of $\Phi(z)$ and (1.4)). Thus $\mu^{*}(\rho) \geqslant \mu\left(\rho\left(\left|\omega_{N}\right|^{p} / c(E)^{N_{p}}\right)\right)$ (its are equal really). So we have from (2.3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\lim \sup \frac{m_{n, p}(\sigma)}{c(E)^{n}} \leqslant\left[\mu^{*}(\rho)\right)\right]^{1 / p} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now (2.4) implies that $\left\|\phi_{n}^{*}\right\|_{H_{\rho}(\Omega, \rho)} \leqslant M=\mathrm{const}, \quad \phi_{n}^{*}=T_{n, p}(z ; \sigma) /$ $c(E)^{n} \Phi^{n}(z)$. Let $M^{*}:=\lim \inf \left\|\phi_{n}^{*}\right\|_{H_{f}(\Omega, \rho)}^{p}$, then for some subsequence $n \in A, M^{*}:=\lim \left\|\phi_{n}^{*}\right\|_{H_{p}(\Omega, p)}^{p}$. This and Lemma 1.1 imply that $\left\{\phi_{n}^{*}, n \in A\right\}$ is a normal family in $\Omega$. So we can find a function $\psi(z)$ that is a uniform limit (on the compact subsets of $\Omega$ ) of some subsequence $\left\{\phi_{n}^{*}, n \in A_{1}\right\}$ of $\left\{\phi_{n}^{*}, n \in \Lambda\right\}$. From Lemma 1.2, we get $\psi \in H_{p}(\Omega, \rho)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\psi\|_{H_{p}(\Omega, \rho)}^{p} \leqslant \lim \inf \left\|\phi_{n}^{*}\right\|_{H_{p}(\Omega, \rho)}^{p} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

But, on the other hand, it is obvious that $\psi(\infty)=1$ and (2.4) implies that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{N} A_{k}\left|\Phi\left(z_{k}\right)\right|^{p n}\left|\phi_{n}^{*}\left(z_{k}\right)\right|^{p} \leqslant M=\text { const. }
$$

That is, $\left|\phi_{n}^{*}\left(z_{k}\right)\right|=O\left(1 /\left|\Phi\left(z_{k}\right)\right|^{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad\left(\left|\Phi\left(z_{k}\right)\right|>1\right)$, we have finally $\psi\left(z_{k}\right)=0$, and from (2.5) we get

$$
\left[\mu^{*}(\rho)\right] \leqslant \lim \inf \left\|\phi_{n}^{*}\right\|_{H_{p}(\Omega, \rho)}^{p} \leqslant \lim \inf \left[\frac{m_{n, p}(\sigma)}{c(E)^{n}}\right]^{p} .
$$

This with (2.4) proves (i).
(ii) We set $\psi_{n}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi_{n}^{*}+\psi^{*}\right)$, then $\psi_{n}(\infty)=1$ and $\psi_{n}\left(z_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$ $(k=1,2, \ldots, N)$. As in (i), we get $\lim \inf \left\|\psi_{n}\right\|_{H_{p}(\Omega, \rho)}^{p} \geqslant \mu^{*}(\rho)$. Now (ii) follows from Clarkson inequality:
$1 \leqslant p \leqslant 2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\int_{E}\left|\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi_{n}^{*}+\psi^{*}\right)\right|^{p} \rho(\zeta)|d \zeta|\right]^{1 /(p \cdot 1)}+\left[\int_{E}\left|\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi_{n}^{*}-\psi^{*}\right)\right|^{p} \rho(\zeta)|d \zeta|\right]^{1 /(p-1)}} \\
& \quad \leqslant\left[\frac{1}{2} \int_{E}\left|\phi_{n}^{*}\right|^{p} \rho(\zeta)|d \zeta|+\frac{1}{2} \int_{E}\left|\psi^{*}\right|^{p} \rho(\zeta)|d \zeta|\right]^{1 /(\rho-1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$2 \leqslant p<\infty$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{E}\left|\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi_{n}^{*}+\psi^{*}\right)\right|^{p} \rho(\zeta)|d \zeta|+\int_{E}\left|\frac{1}{2}\left(\phi_{n}^{*}-\psi^{*}\right)\right|^{p} \rho(\zeta)|d \zeta| \\
\quad \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \int_{E}\left|\phi_{n}^{*}\right|^{p} \rho(\zeta)|d \zeta|+\frac{1}{2} \int_{E}\left|\psi^{*}\right|^{p} \rho(\zeta)|d \zeta|
\end{gathered}
$$

$0<p<1$. Once can use the Keldysh lemma (see [6]): If $f_{n}(u)$ is a sequence of analytic in the unit disc functions, $f_{n} \in H_{p}(A), f_{n}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ is a limit values of $f_{n}$ on the unit circle and $f_{n}(0) \rightarrow 1$ plus

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f_{n}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{\rho} d \theta\right\}=1
$$

then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}(1 / 2 \pi) \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f_{n}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)-1\right|^{p} d \theta=0$. We need a simple generalization of this statement:

Lemma 2.1. If $f_{n}(u)$ is a sequence of analytic functions $f_{n} \in H_{p}(\Delta)$, $f_{n}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)$ is a limit values of $f_{n}$ on the unit circle and $f_{n}(0) \rightarrow 1, f_{n}\left(u_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0$ ( $k=1,2, \ldots, N u_{k} \in \Delta$ ) and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \alpha}\left\{\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f_{n}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta\right\}=\prod_{k=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\left|u_{k}\right|^{p}}
$$

Then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f_{n}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)-b\left(e^{i \varphi}\right)\right|^{P} d \theta=0, \quad \text { where } \quad b(u)=\prod_{k=1}^{N} \frac{u-u_{k}}{u \bar{u}_{k}-1} \frac{\bar{u}_{k}}{\left|u_{k}\right|^{2}} .
$$

Proof. First we note that $\left|b\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p}=\prod_{k=1}^{N} 1 /\left|u_{k}\right|^{p}$ and $b(0)=1$. For the functions $g_{n}(u)=f_{n}(u) / b(u)$ we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{(1 / 2 \pi) \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|g_{n}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta\right\}=1$. On the other hand $g_{n}(u)=h_{n}(u)+\sum_{k=1}^{N} r_{k} f_{n}\left(u_{k}\right) /\left(u-u_{k}\right)$, where the constants $r_{k}$ do not depend on $n$. But $f_{n}\left(u_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0$, so $\lim \left\{(1 / 2 \pi) \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|h_{n}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta\right\}=1$ and $h_{n}(0)=g_{n}(0)-\sum_{k=1}^{N} r_{k} f_{n}\left(u_{k}\right) / u_{k} \rightarrow 1$. The functions $h_{n}$ are analytic in the unit disc and we can apply the Keldysh lemma for this sequence of functions. Thus $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{(1 / 2 \pi) \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \mid h_{n}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)-\right.$ $\left.\left.1\right|^{p} d \theta\right\}=0$. This implies $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|h_{n}\left(e^{i \theta}\right) b\left(e^{i \theta}\right)-b\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta=0$ and the lemma follows from this.

We get (ii) by applying Lemma 2.1 to the sequence $\phi_{n}^{*}(z) / \phi^{*}(z)$ with $u=1 / \Phi(z)$ (we recall that $\phi^{*}(z)=D(z) / D(\infty)$ ).
(iii) follows from (ii) and Lemma 1.1. Theorem 2.2 is proved.

The interesting question is the asymptotic of $L_{\rho}(\sigma)$-extremal polynomials in the case when $E=\bigcup E_{l}, E_{l}$ being a closed rectifiable Jordan curves,
$l=1,2, \ldots, L$, and $F=E \cup\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{N}\right\}$. We shall give a result in our future paper (this case needs some different techniques).
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